



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,869	08/23/2001	Jose Garcia Arumi	ARUMI	9449
7	1590 01/31/2003			
Ursula B. Day			EXAMINER	
350 Fifth Aver New York, NY	Avenue, Suite 3220 K, NY 10118 BAXTER, JESSICA R		ESSICA R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			1/1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
~	09/935,869	ARUMI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jessica R Baxter	. 3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statured than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			is
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	. ,		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xamıner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 U.S.C	:. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicati	on).
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional application has	been received.	•
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: change "the recesses of are bounded" in lines 1-2 to --the recesses are bounded--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: change "smaller than then the inside width" in line 3 to --smaller than the inside width--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is meant by the limitation "the front face of the light guide is configured in slanted relative to the longitudinal axis." It is unclear what is meant by "slanted relative", it appears that a term is left out of the limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-6 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,746,770 to Zeitels et al.

Art Unit: 3731

Regarding claim 1, Zeitels discloses an instrument comprising a handle (grip 26) with an actuator (trigger grip 27) in operative engagement with a sliding pin (FIG. 3), a tube (tool 34), and a jaw structure (arms 8) separated by a slot and a recess on each jaw opposing one another (FIG. 12).

Regarding claims 2-6, Zeitels discloses a light guide with a light source that is configured to provide a slanted angle of light (Column 3 lines 53-67) through a lens (Column 4 lines 14-20).

Regarding claim 16, Zeitels discloses that the rod comprises two portions configured in semi-circular shape (splints 2).

Regarding claims 17 and 18, Zeitels discloses that a first and second tube piece comprising the probe is formed as a unit (tool 34).

Regarding claim 19 and 20, Zeitels discloses an opening on the first tube piece and an axial exit for the light guide (Column 3 line53-Column 4 line 9).

7. Claims 1, 7, 9, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,549,731 to Wattley.

Regarding claim 1, Wattley discloses an instrument comprising a handle (handle 42) with an actuator (rod 20) in operative engagement with a sliding pin (shank 81), a tube (sleeve 60), and a jaw structure (jaws 82 and 83) separated by a slot and a recess on each jaw opposing one another (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 7, Wattley discloses that the jaw structure closes in a flush closure (FIG. 3).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Wattley discloses that the recesses pushed together form a tear drop shape where the inside length is greater than the inside width (FIG. 3).

Regarding claim 15, Wattley discloses that the two arms are tapered off in the direction of the frontal face (FIGS. 2 and 3).

Art Unit: 3731

8. Claims 1, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,797,958 to Yoon.

Regarding claim 1, Yoon discloses an instrument comprising a handle (handle 24) with an actuator (handle 26) in operative engagement with a sliding pin (Column 5 lines 58-62), a tube (member 14), and a jaw structure (jaws 18 and 20) separated by a slot and a recess on each jaw opposing one another (FIGS 13-16).

Regarding claim 7, Yoon discloses that the jaw structure closes in a flush closure (FIG. 1).

Regarding claim 8, Yoon discloses that each of the legs are provided with an edge smaller than one half the diameter of the rod (FIGS. 12, 14 and 16).

Regarding claim 11 and 12, Yoon discloses that the recesses form an elongate shape (FIGS. 1 and 2).

Regarding claims 13 and 14, Yoon discloses that the recesses form a circular shape wherein the inside diameter is the same as the outer diameter of the tube shaped probe (FIGS 12-17).

Regarding claim 15, Yoon discloses that the two arms are tapered off in the direction of the frontal face (FIG. 17).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to grasping instruments:

U.S. Patent No. 4,427,014 to Bel et al.

U.S. Patent No. 5,486,185 to Freitas et al.

U.S. Patent No. 4,467,802 to Maslanka

U.S. Patent No. 5,514,148 to Smith, III

U.S. Patent No. 4,655,219 to Petruzzi

U.S. Patent No. 5,735,849 to Baden et al.

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

U.S. Patent No. 5,222,973 to Sharpe et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica R Baxter whose telephone number is 703-305-4069. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Jessica R Baxter Examiner Art Unit 3731

jrb

January 27, 2003

MICHAEL J. MILANO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700